

1 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
2 William F. Abrams (SBN 88805)
william.abrams@bingham.com
3 David S. Cannon (SBN 209501)
david.cannon@bingham.com
4 Erin A. Smart (SBN 246288)
erin.smart@bingham.com
5 Sandra C. Zuniga (SBN 250881)
sandra.zuniga@bingham.com
6 1900 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223
Telephone: 650.849.4400
7 Facsimile: 650.849.4800

8 Attorneys for Defendant
Hewlett-Packard Company
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 7067
14 MMCA Group Ltd., No. 07-6076 MMC (EMC)
15 Plaintiff,
v.
16 Hewlett-Packard Company, et al,
17 Defendant.
18 _____
19 [PROPOSED] ORDER SEALING MATERIAL IN HP'S REPLY BRIEF ON SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING ON HP'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT/JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
20 _____
[L. R. Civ. P. 79-5]
[No Hearing Required]
21 Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
22 _____
23 _____
24 _____
25 _____
26 _____
27 _____
28 _____

1 On November 6, 2009, Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”) filed an Administrative
 2 Motion to Seal Material in HP’s Reply Brief on Supplemental Briefing on HP’s Motion for
 3 Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings (“Administrative Motion”) and a supporting
 4 declaration (“Declaration”) establishing that the designated material is subject to being sealed.

5 The Court, having considered the Administrative Motion and Declaration, finds
 6 the requested material, listed below subject to being sealed:

- 7 1. HP’s Reply Brief on Supplemental Briefing on Defendant HP’s Motion for
 8 Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings
- 9 2. Declaration of Rodolfo Diaz in Support of HP’s and PICA’s Supplemental
 10 Replies on Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings (“Diaz Decl.”)
- 11 3. The following Exhibits to the Diaz Decl.
 - 12 a. Exhibit A
 - 13 b. Exhibit B
- 14 4. The following Exhibits to the Declaration of David Cannon in Support of
 15 HP’s Supplemental Reply on its Motion for Summary Judgment/Judgment on
 16 the Pleadings
 - 17 a. Exhibit A
 - 18 b. Exhibit B
 - 19 c. Exhibit C
- 20 5. HP’s Evidentiary Objections to Plaintiff MMCA’s Supplemental Opposition
 21 to Defendants’ Motions for Summary Judgment or Alternatively Motion for
 22 Partial Summary Judgment (“HP’s Objections to MMCA’s Supp. Brief”)
- 23 6. Exhibit A to HP’s Objections to MMCA’s Supp. Brief
- 24 7. HP’s Evidentiary Objections to the Supplemental Declaration of Morgan
 25 Cherry

1 8. Therefore, pursuant to Rule 79 of the Local Civil Rules of the Northern
2 District of California, the Administrative Motion is GRANTED.


3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

4 Whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case, the following
5 material shall referenced above shall be filed under seal:

6

7 DATED: January 5, 2010, 2009

By:


Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28